

The Commonwealth

Plaintiff

against
J. B. Smith & Michael Williams Defendants

The process awarded against the Defendants being returned not executed on the defendant Smith, on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth a new process is awarded against the defendant Smith returnable here at the next quarterly term of this Court.

The Commonwealth

Plaintiff

against
Solomon Johnson

Defendant

{ Upon an Information

Solomon Johnson and Baile Bryant who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for the Commonwealth in this suit were solemnly called but came not; therefore on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that the said Solomon Johnson & Baile Bryant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next Quarterly term to show cause if any they can why an attachment should not be issued against them for their said contempt.

The Commonwealth

Plaintiff

against
Simmons Sharp

Defendant

{ Information

Bry. J. Barrett who was summoned to appear here on this day as witness for the Commonwealth in this suit was solemnly called but came not; therefore on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that the said Bry. J. Barrett be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next Quarterly term to show cause if any he can why an attachment should not be issued against them for their said contempt.

Joseph E. Gillotti & Joshua Pittman merchants & partners trading under the firm style of Gillotti & Pittman.

Plaintiff

against
Wm. R. Parker

Defendant

{ In Case.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and thereupon came a Jury to sit Wm. C. Ralfe, L. C. Cobb, Samuel Leabell, Joseph Pittman, Lovl. B. Munro, Bry. J. Bryant, Noelet Herring, John Mafus, Jesse Little, Samuel Fagian, John Pittman and Dennis L. Dolis who being selected by lot, did and did diligently to inquire of damages in this suit upon their oath returned a verdict in the following words and figures next; "We the jury find for the plaintiffs and assess their damages at \$103.55 & to which interest from the 1st January 1859." Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and three dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1859, all paid and their costs by them about this suit in this behalf expended. And the said defendant in money of

about Robert M. Dolis, Justice.